2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

IN RE CIM-SQ TRANSFER CASES

Case No. 5:20-cv-06326-EJD

This Document Relates To:

25-cv-01728; Mackey v. Broomfield

ORDER OF SERVICE

INTRODUCTION

Plaintiff, a California prisoner, filed a pro se civil rights action. The case was consolidated with cases in this district related to the 2020 prisoner transfer from the California Institution for Men (CIM) to San Quentin State Prison (SQSP)¹ in May 2020 and related to the first case filed, No. 5:20-cv-06326-EJD, which now has the caption "In Re CIM-SQ Transfer Cases." The Court dismissed the complaint with leave to amend. Plaintiff has filed a First Amended Complaint (FAC). Dkt. No. 219 in Case No. 20-cv-06326. The FAC is now before the Court for screening pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a), and service of the complaint on defendants is ordered.

Because the case is part of the consolidated "In Re CIM-SQ Transfer Cases," service shall therefore proceed in plaintiff's case as ordered below, but the case will remain stayed for all other purposes. The docket for Case No. 25-cv-01728 and all other individual dockets have been closed. If plaintiff wishes to file any motions, he must file them in Case No. 5:20-cv-06326-EJD and include his original case number, No. 25-cv-01728, on the left side of the heading.

¹ SQSP is now called the San Quentin Rehabilitation Center. The former name is used in this Order for clarity.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Federal courts must engage in a preliminary screening of cases in which prisoners seek
redress from a governmental entity or officer or employee of a governmental entity. 28 U.S.C. §
1915A(a). The Court must identify cognizable claims or dismiss the complaint, or any portion of
the complaint, if the complaint "is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim upon which relief
may be granted," or "seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief." Id.
§ 1915A(b). Pro se pleadings must be liberally construed. Balistreri v. Pacifica Police Dep't, 901
F.2d 696, 699 (9th Cir. 1990).

STANDARD OF REVIEW

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(a)(2) requires only "a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief." "Specific facts are not necessary; the statement need only give the defendant fair notice of what the claim is and the grounds upon which it rests." Erickson v. Pardus, 127 S. Ct. 2197, 2200 (2007) (citations omitted). Although to state a claim a complaint "does not need detailed factual allegations, . . . a plaintiff's obligation to provide the grounds of his entitle[ment] to relief requires more than labels and conclusions, and a formulaic recitation of the elements of a cause of action will not do Factual allegations must be enough to raise a right to relief above the speculative level." Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly, 127 S. Ct. 1955, 1964-65 (2007) (citations omitted). A complaint must proffer "enough facts to state a claim for relief that is plausible on its face." Id. at 1974.

To state a claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, a plaintiff must allege two elements: (1) that a right secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States was violated, and (2) that the alleged violation was committed by a person acting under the color of state law. West v. Atkins, 487 U.S. 42, 48 (1988).

If a court dismisses a complaint for failure to state a claim, it should "freely give leave" to amend "when justice so requires." Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(2). A court has discretion to deny leave to amend due to "undue delay, bad faith or dilatory motive on the part of the movant, repeated failure to cure deficiencies by amendment previously allowed, undue prejudice to the opposing party by virtue of allowance of the amendment, [and] futility of amendment." Leadsinger, Inc. v. BMG Music Pub., 512 F.3d 522, 532 (9th Cir. 2008).

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

I	FC	AT.	CI	$[. \Delta]$	I۱	AS
	7 I 7 T	Δ	\ . I			

Plaintiff's FAC names as defendants Ronald Broomfield, Acting Warden SQSP; CDCR Secretary Ralph Diaz; Kathleen Allison, Director of CDCR, and Dr. Joseph Bink, CCHCS. He alleges that his Eighth Amendment rights were violated during his time at SQSP by the defendants' deliberate indifference in transferring 122 people from CIM to SQSP in May of 2020 and plaintiff's resulting COVID-19 infection. He seeks damages.

Liberally construed, plaintiff's allegations state a plausible claim for deliberate indifference to plaintiff's safety against defendants, in violation of the Eighth Amendment. Farmer v. Brennan, 511 U.S. 825, 837 (1994).

CONCLUSION

- 1. The Court orders that service on the following defendants shall proceed under the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation's ("CDCR") e-service program for civil rights cases from prisoners in the CDCR's custody:
 - Ronald Broomfield a.
 - b. Ralph Diaz
 - Kathleen Allison c.
 - d. Joseph Bink

In accordance with the program, the clerk is directed to serve on the CDCR via email the following documents: the operative complaint (Dkt. No. 209 in case No. 20-cv-06326), this Order of Service, a CDCR Report of E-Service Waiver form, and a summons. The clerk also shall serve a copy of this order on the plaintiff.

No later than 40 days after service of this order via email on the CDCR, the CDCR shall provide the court a completed CDCR Report of E-Service Waiver advising the court which defendant(s) listed in this order will be waiving service of process without the need for service by the USMS and which defendant(s) decline to waive service or could not be reached. The CDCR also shall provide a copy of the CDCR Report of E-Service Waiver to the California Attorney General's Office which, within 21 days, shall file with the Court a waiver of service of process for the defendant(s) who are waiving service.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Upon receipt of the CDCR Report of E-Service Waiver, the clerk shall prepare for each defendant who has not waived service according to the CDCR Report of E-Service Waiver a USM-285 Form. The clerk shall provide to the USMS the completed USM-285 forms and copies of this order, the summons and the operative complaint for service upon each defendant who has not waived service. The clerk also shall provide to the USMS a copy of the CDCR Report of E-Service Waiver.

- 2. All defendants are cautioned that Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires them to cooperate in saving unnecessary costs of service of the summons and complaint. Pursuant to Rule 4, if defendants, after being notified of this action and asked by the Court, on behalf of plaintiff, to waive service of the summons, fail to do so, they will be required to bear the cost of such service unless good cause can be shown for their failure to sign and return the waiver form.
- 3. All communications by plaintiff with the Court must be served on defendants' counsel by mailing a true copy of the document to defendants' counsel. The Court may disregard any document which a party files but fails to send a copy of to his opponent. Until defendants' counsel has been designated, plaintiff may mail a true copy of the document directly to defendants, but once defendants are represented by counsel, all documents must be mailed to counsel rather than directly to defendants.
- 4. Plaintiff is responsible for prosecuting this case. Plaintiff must promptly keep the Court informed of any change of address and must comply with the Court's orders in a timely fashion. Failure to do so may result in the dismissal of this action for failure to prosecute pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b). Plaintiff must file a notice of change of address in every pending case every time he is moved to a new facility.
- 5. Any motion for an extension of time must be filed no later than the deadline sought to be extended and must be accompanied by a showing of good cause. Plaintiff is cautioned that he must include the case name and case number for this case on any document he submits to the Court for consideration in this case.

The case will remain stayed for all purposes other than service of the complaint on 6. defendants.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 30, 2025

EDWARD J. DAVILA United States District Judge